



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Confirmation No. 4446

Kazuhiko TAKAHATA et al. : Docket No. 2000_0956A

Serial No. 09/582,864 : Group Art Unit 2871

Filed July 6, 2000 : Examiner Prasad R. Akkapeddi

LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE : **Mail Stop Amendment**
OF TOUCH INPUT TYPE AND ITS
FABRICATION METHOD

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED
TO CHARGE ANY DEFICIENCY IN THE
FEES FOR THIS PAPER TO DEPOSIT
ACCOUNT NO. 23-0975

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action dated February 25, 2005, the period for response to which having been extended by three months to August 25, 2005, the Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and reexamination of the application in view of the following remarks.

In item 4 on page 2 of the Office Action, claims 27, 41-42 and 44 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawai et al. (U.S. 6,020,945) in view of Kubo et al. (U.S. 6,456,279).

This rejection is respectfully traversed for the following reasons.

As discussed beginning at line 24 on page 54 of the specification, for example, the touch-input type liquid crystal display of the present invention includes a transparent re-peel sheet which prevents peeling of a member during use after mounting but enables the member to be peeled off for maintenance or other occasions.

Claim 27 recites this novel feature of the present invention. In particular, claim 27 recites, in part, that the touch-input type liquid crystal display device comprises a transparent re-peel sheet adhesively bonding overall one of a member on which the stationary electrode portion has been directly formed and the liquid crystal display or all